



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,082	04/27/2001	Benjamin T. Gomez	2100/19	9623
7590 11/18/2003			EXAMINER	
Michael H. Baniak			JONES, SCOTT E	
BANIAK PINE & GANNON Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
150 N. Wacker Drive			3713	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003	

. .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		_	i	NI
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	'-'1'
		09/844,082	GOMEZ ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Scott E. Jones	3713	
D 1 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	;
	or Reply	V 10 OFT TO EVDIDE 4.8	AONTHAN FROM	
THE - External after aft	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irreply be timely filed irreply. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 (October 2003.		
2a)[]		action is non-final.		
3)	,—	ance except for formal ma		its is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application	٦.		
٠,٣	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>4/27/01</u> is/are: a) 🔀 a	ccepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.			е
	application from the International Burea	•	.	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis			ı \
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the fi			
	37 CFR 1.78.	ist sentence of the specin	cation of in an Application Data	Onco.
	a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has	been received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of t			
Attachme	nt(s)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) 🔲 Noti	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	
2) 🔲 Noti	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
3) <u></u> Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 09/844,082

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action is in response to the after final amendment filed on October 24, 2003 in which applicant responds to the claim rejections.
- 2. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see pages 2-8, filed October 24, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-46 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a requirement for an election of species is provided below.

Election/Restrictions

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- A. The attraction mechanism is a mechanical apparatus having moving parts (Claims 2-4, 15-17, 25-28, and 38-40).
- B. The attraction mechanism is a laser projection device (Claims 21-24, 29-33, and 44-46).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 5-14, 18-20, 34-37, and 41-43 are generic.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,082

Art Unit: 3713

-4

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

SET

sej

Téresa Walberg Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700